

Energy use in U.S. manufacturing and increasing imports from China

- 미국내 중국 수입침투율 증가에 따른 미국 제조업의 에너지 수요영향 분석 -

Applied Economics, 55(58) pp.6823-6831

오경수

계명대학교 경제통상학부

Introduction

- Research question
 - *Estimate the effect of increased Chinese import penetration on energy use as a factor of production in U.S. manufacturing*
 - *Decompose the effect into factor substitution effects and output scale effects*
- Background
 - *Since 1990s, the emergence of China, a huge trading partner*
 - *Change the industrial structure of its other trading partners*
 - *Energy use is also related to environmental issues*
 - *Traditional trade theory(factor abundant) vs. New trade theory(economies of scale)*

Introduction

- Previous studies

- *Antweiler, Copeland and Taylor (2001), Cole (2006)*
- *Feng et al. (2012), Omri and Nguyen (2014)*
- *Bernard, Jensen and Schott (2006)*

- Contribution

- *the impact of increase imports from China on the U.S. manufacturing sector, rather than the impact of trade liberalization in general on national energy use*

Methodology: Data

- A balanced panel data
 - 1997~2005 U.S. manufacturing industries (obs:3,438)
 - The annual survey of manufacturers(ASM) : 473 industries
 - Trade data for U.S. manufacturing: 385 industries

	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min.	Max
Energy Use (in trillion Btu.)				
Total (<i>eneQ</i>)	32.3171	118.6422	0.0708	1760.7000
Fuels (<i>fuelQ</i>)	25.6514	104.6339	0.0418	1607.3550
Electricity (<i>ectQ</i>)	6.6657	17.1415	0.0000	206.6052
Import penetration from China (<i>IP_c</i>)	0.0499	0.1154	0.0000	0.9126
Ratio of labour to capital (<i>LK</i>)	0.4593	0.3010	0.0128	2.1171
Value of shipment (in billion of real USD) (<i>vship</i>)	9.4725	23.2710	0.1012	877.9968

Methodology: Conceptual framework

- Trade based on comparative advantage
 - *It is expected that products in U.S. manufacturing move towards energy-intensive industries and result in using more energy in U.S. manufacturing.*
 - New trade theory
 - *Trade leads to increased competition, that encourages firms to innovate and adopt new technologies, and the results are increased energy efficiency and less energy use.*
 - Different types of energy inputs
- Decomposition of trade effect: scale of production and factor substitution

Methodology: Empirical strategy

- Empirical equation

$$E_{i,t} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 * IP_{i,t}^c + \alpha_2 LK_{i,t} + \alpha_3 Vship_{i,t} + \alpha_4 (Vship_{i,t})^2 + \alpha_5 LK_{i,t} Vship_{i,t} + \alpha_6 IP_{i,t}^c LK_{i,t} + \alpha_7 IP_{i,t}^c Vship_{i,t} + \alpha_8 IP_{i,t}^c (LK_{i,t})^2 + \alpha_9 IP_{i,t}^c (Vship_{i,t})^2 + \varepsilon_{it}$$

- Endogeneity problem

- *unobserved shocks that simultaneously related to energy use among domestic firms in an industry and imports from China*
- *Instrumental Variable: the Chinese trade share of world trade*

- Others

- *cross-sectional dependence test, panel unit root test, cointegration test*

Results: Marginal effects

- [Estimation results](#) by OLS and IV with fixed effects
- Marginal effects

		Fixed Effects			IV Fixed Effects		
		A	B	C	A	B	C
<i>eneQ</i>	IP_c	0.010	0.008	0.005	0.095***	0.088***	0.085***
	IP_c*LK	0.012	0.003	0.001	0.012	0.008	0.007
	IP_c*vship		0.024***	0.098***		0.011	0.027
<i>fuelQ</i>	IP_c	0.008	0.006	0.001	0.080***	0.068***	0.060***
	IP_c*LK	-0.002	-0.013	-0.016*	-0.012	-0.019*	-0.020**
	IP_c*vship		0.027***	0.128***		0.018**	0.075***
<i>ectQ</i>	IP_c	0.010	0.009	0.008	0.075***	0.076***	0.082***
	IP_c*LK	0.026***	0.021**	0.012**	0.033***	0.034***	0.035***
	IP_c*vship		0.014**	0.039		-0.001	-0.045

Conclusion

- The opposite of the factor substitution effect for fuels and electricity
 - *Labor intensive industries with high Chinese import penetration use less fuels and more electricity.*
 - *Electricity could have the potential to easily replace labor.*
- Implications
 - *Depending on the characteristics of major trading partners and factors of production, the policy responses will need to be different.*
 - *As the underlying cause of the most-profile environmental problems, proper understandings of fuels and electricity as a factor of production in manufacturing is required.*

Tests

- Cross-sectional dependence test: Pesaran (2015)

	eneQ	fuelQ	ectQ	IP_c	LK	vship
Statistics	56.018	101.881	94..965	488.177	207.866	118.508
P-value	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

- Panel unit root test

: ADF-F and PP-F

	Level		1 st difference	
	ADF-F	PP-F	ADF-F	PP-F
eneQ	1092.9464***	682.2482	1803.0962***	2773.7727***
fuelQ	918.8958***	705.0313	2313.2275***	3102.1555***
ectQ	1104.1974***	676.7761	2005.4868***	2619.4791***
IP_c	544.3059	346.7891	1204.5107***	2187.4352***
LK	1396.6928***	1186.0991***	1616.7172***	2509.5905***
vship	726.8733	529.2215	1331.6991***	2503.7818***

Tests

- Cointegration test: Kao (1999)

Dep. Var.	MDF		DF		ADF	
	Statistics	p-value	Statistics	p-value	Statistics	p-value
eneQ	9.813	0.000	6.848	0.000	3.337	0.000
fuelQ	9.226	0.000	7.021	0.000	3.503	0.000
ectQ	11.181	0.000	8.837	0.000	7.808	0.000

Results

- Dependent variable: *eneQ*

VARIABLES	Fixed Effects			IV Fixed Effects		
	A	B	C	A	B	C
IP_c	0.022 (0.016)	-0.186*** (0.051)	-0.807*** (0.210)	0.107*** (0.021)	0.002 (0.074)	-0.130 (0.234)
LK	-0.099 (0.252)	-0.301 (0.258)	-0.399 (0.243)	-0.194 (0.161)	-0.286* (0.168)	-0.307* (0.168)
vship	1.447*** (0.285)	1.484*** (0.276)	2.256*** (0.313)	1.462*** (0.158)	1.477*** (0.158)	1.627*** (0.256)
sqvship	-0.055*** (0.016)	-0.050*** (0.015)	-0.097*** (0.018)	-0.054*** (0.009)	-0.051*** (0.009)	-0.060*** (0.015)
LK*vship	0.031 (0.030)	0.051 (0.031)	0.062** (0.029)	0.042** (0.018)	0.051*** (0.019)	0.054*** (0.019)
IP_c*LK	0.012 (0.008)	0.003 (0.008)	0.001 (0.008)	0.012 (0.009)	0.008 (0.009)	0.007 (0.008)
IP_c*vship		0.024*** (0.006)	0.173*** (0.048)		0.011 (0.007)	0.042 (0.050)
IP_c*sqvship			-0.009*** (0.003)			-0.002 (0.003)
Year Dummy	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Observations	3,303	3,303	3,303	3,194	3,194	3,194
R-squared	0.402	0.413	0.422	0.349	0.367	0.372